Table: 1: Level of education and occupation cross tabulation.


Chi-Square Tests

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $36.686^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 6 | df |
| Likelihood Ratio | 39.591 | 6 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 31.667 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 200 |  | .000 |

a. 4 cells ( $33.3 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 2.18 .

Symmetric Measures

|  |  | Value | Approx. Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal by Nominal | Phi | .428 | .000 |
|  | Cramer's V | .303 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases |  | 200 |  |

Table 2: Occupation and monthly income cross tabulation.

|  |  |  | Monthly income |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $<\mathrm{R} 500$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R5001- } \\ & \text { R1000 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { R1001- } \\ & \text { R1500 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { R1501- } \\ & \text { R3500 } \end{aligned}$ | >R3500 |  |
| Occupation | Employed | Count | 19 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 29 |
|  |  | \% within occupation | 65.5 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 17.2 | 6.9 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within monthly income | 67.9 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 12.2 | 7.1 | 14.5 |
|  | Self- | Count | 2 | 20 | 6 | 22 | 12 | 62 |
|  | employed | \% within occupation | 3.2 | 32.3 | 9.7 | 35.5 | 19.4 | 100.0 |
|  |  | $\%$ within monthly income | 7.1 | 40.8 | 11.1 | 53.7 | 42.9 | 31.0 |
|  | Unemployed | Count | 7 | 28 | 46 | 14 | 14 | 109 |
|  |  | \% within occupation | 6.4 | 25.7 | 42.2 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within monthly income | 25.0 | 57.1 | 85.2 | 34.1 | 50.0 | 54.5 |
|  | Total | Count | 28 | 49 | 54 | 41 | 28 | 200 |
|  |  | \% within occupation | 14.0 | 24.5 | 27.0 | 20.5 | 14.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within monthly income | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Chi-Square Tests

|  |  |  | Asymp. Sig. (2- <br> sided) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | Value | df |  | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | $104.457^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | 8 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 86.760 |  | 8 | .010 |
| N of Valid Cases | 6.597 |  | 1 |  |

a. 2 cells $(13.3 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 4.06 .

Symmetric Measures

|  |  | Value | Approx. Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Nominal by Nominal | Phi | .723 | .000 |
|  | Cramer's V | .511 | .000 |
| $N$ |  | 200 |  |

Table 3: Income and energy type cross tabulation.

|  |  |  | Energy Type |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Electricity | Fuel wood | Both |  |
| Income | <R500 | Count | 6 | 19 | 8 | 84 |
|  |  | \% within income | 7.1 | 22.6 | 9.5 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within energy type | 31.6 | 15.8 | 11.9 | 14.0 |
|  | R501-R1000 | Count | 6 | 29 | 14 | 147 |
|  |  | \% within income | 4.1 | 19.7 | 9.5 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within energy type | 31.6 | 24.2 | 20.9 | 24.5 |
|  | R1001-R1500 | Count | 7 | 40 | 8 | 162 |
|  |  | \% within income | 4.3 | 24.7 | 4.9 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within energy type | 36.8 | 33.3 | 11.9 | 27.0 |
|  | R1501-R3500 | Count | 12 | 15 | 26 | 123 |
|  |  | \% within income | 27 | 12.2 | 21.1 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within energy type | 53 | 12.5 | 38.8 | 20.5 |
|  | >R3500 | Count | 18 | 17 | 11 | 84 |
|  |  | \% within income | 31 | 20.2 | 13.1 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within energy type | 78 | 14.2 | 16.4 | 14.0 |
| Total |  | Count | 19 | 120 | 67 | 600 |
|  |  | \% within income | 3.2 | 20.0 | 11.2 | 100.0 |
|  |  | \% within energy type | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

## Chi-Square Tests

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $35.434^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 12 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 40.470 | 12 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 600 |  |  |

a. 4 cells $(20.0 \%)$ have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 2.66 .

Symmetric Measures

|  |  | Value | Approx. Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Nominal by Nominal | Phi | .243 | .000 |
|  | Cramer's V | .140 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases |  | 600 |  |

Table 4: Village and cooking energy source cross tabulation.

|  |  |  | Cooking s | urce |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Electricity | Fuel wood | Both | Total |
| Village * | Altein | Count <br> \% within village <br> \%within cooking <br> energy source | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \\ & 6.0 \% \\ & 27.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31 \\ & 62.0 \% \\ & 22.8 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 32.0 \% \\ & 30.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 50 \\ 100.0 \% \\ 25.0 \% \end{array}$ |
|  | Botsoleni | Count <br> \% within village <br> \% within cooking <br> energy source | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \\ & 8.0 \% \\ & 36.4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 66.0 \% \\ & 24.3 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 26.0 \% \\ & 24.5 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 50 \\ 100.0 \% \\ 25.0 \% \end{array}$ |
|  | Makovha | Count <br> \% within village <br> \%within cooking <br> energy source | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 4.0 \% \\ & 18.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 70.0 \% \\ & 25.7 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 13 \\ 26.0 \% \\ 24.5 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 50 \\ 100.0 \% \\ 25.0 \% \end{array}$ |
|  | Thenzheni | Count <br> \% within village \% within cooking energy source | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 4.0 \% \\ & 18.2 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 37 \\ 74.0 \% \\ 27.2 \% \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 22.0 \% \\ & 20.8 \% \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 100.0 \% \\ & 25.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Total |  | Count <br> \% within village \% within cooking energy source | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 5.5 \% \\ & \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 136 \\ 68.0 \% \\ 100.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 26.5 \% \\ & \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 200 \\ & 100.0 \% \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Chi-Square Tests

|  | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pearson Chi-Square | $2.550^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | 6 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 2.511 |  | 6 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | .361 |  | 1 |

a. 4 cells ( $33.3 \%$ ) have expected count less than 5 . The minimum expected count is 2.75 .

Symmetric Measures

|  |  | Value | Approx. Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Nominal by Nominal | Phi | .080 | .863 |
|  | Cramer's V | .113 | .863 |
| N of Valid Cases |  | 200 |  |

