Public opinion on crime and justice in central Johannesburg

A poll of inner-city residents indicates that many are willing to permit substantial curtailment of civil rights if necessary to make the area safe. Over 80% of those polled said they would be open to the idea of police searching their homes once a month if this would reduce crime. A third of respondents favoured execution for drug dealers, and 70% of those who had experienced a police Crackdown operation in their area thought it had helped the situation. This is an expression of the desperation of a community where 88% of the people do not feel safe walking the streets at night.

A survey of the Johannesburg Central and Hillbrow police station areas was conducted in mid to late 2002, including a geographic sampling of over 1,300 households, all told. (See SA Crime Quarterly No. 2 November 2002.) In addition to detailed questions about criminal victimisation, the respondents were asked their opinions about crime and criminal justice performance in the area. This article is based on their responses.

Most victim surveys ask a set of standard questions about perceptions of safety, and impressions of the state’s response to crime. This is opinion data only, more likely to be influenced by yesterday’s sensational headlines than a considered analysis of fact. But public opinion is highly important in a capitalist democracy: people vote and invest with their opinions, however uninformed and prejudiced these views might be.

In addition, negative public opinion of the performance of the criminal justice system can lead to very real problems, such as vigilantism, underreporting of crime, and an unwillingness to co-operate with the police as witnesses or informants. These questions also have value simply because they have been asked so many times, and are therefore comparable across jurisdictions and time periods.

Just under half of all the respondents said they had been victims of one of the specified serious crimes in the last year in inner Johannesburg. As a result, much of this data is informed by some direct and recent experience.

A remarkable 61% of victims claimed to have reported their experience to the police, which reflects a high level of confidence in the authorities overall, but this rate varied sharply by crime type: just over a third of all robberies were reported to the police, for example.

**Perceptions of safety**

One of the most consistently asked questions in victim surveys is “How safe do you feel walking in the area where you live in the daytime and at night?” The responses to these questions in our survey are graphed in Figures 1 and 2.

The daytime safety profile is fairly typical for an inner city area, with about half of respondents...
feeling safe and half not. The night time response is, however, quite extreme, with less than 20% feeling safe on the streets at night, and nearly three quarters feeling very unsafe. Figure 3 compares the answer to this question to similar questions asked in surveys around the world and in South Africa. From this it is clear that feelings of safety at night are lower in inner Johannesburg than in just about any comparable place in the world where similar studies have been done.

However, there was considerable variation within the survey area in this regard. In some areas, upwards of 90% felt unsafe at night, while in one area, 36% felt very safe. In the residential hotels, notorious sites for drug dealing and prostitution (See SA Crime Quarterly No. 2 November 2002), only 35% felt safe during the day, and only seven per cent felt safe at night.

Despite this fear, 30% of the people polled felt that crime in their area had actually decreased since 1994. However, 55% felt it had increased either a little or a lot, with 15% saying it had stayed the same. Again, this figure varied quite a bit by survey area: in one area, 67% felt crime had increased a lot, and in another 40% thought it had decreased either a little or a lot.

For example, among the majority of Indian respondents from the suburbs south of Johannesburg Central station, there was a feeling that crime had decreased. But these decreases may have been off a very poor base. Almost half of the people who said they thought crime had decreased a lot said they nonetheless felt very unsafe walking at night.

Role of the state
Overall, 86% of the people interviewed held government responsible for changes in the crime rate, whether wholly or in part, positive or negative. Of those who thought that crime had increased “a lot” since 1994, 87% felt the government was at least partially responsible for this increase. Of those who felt that crime had decreased a lot, 81% gave the government at least partial credit for this improvement. This suggests that the public believe
that government possesses the ability to control the
crime rate, and that failure to do so represents a lack
of service delivery.

As most people living in inner Johannesburg are
afraid to walk the city streets, and feel the situation
is getting worse, it is not surprising that many favour
radical solutions to the problem. The majority of
people polled said they favoured the death penalty
for murderers, and a third favoured it for drug
dealers. White and Indian South Africans in
particular favoured the death penalty for both
crimes, with southern Africans, central Africans, west
Africans, and coloured South Africans being less
likely to favour this brutal approach for drug dealers.

Three quarters of the people said they would
“definitely” be willing to have their home searched
by the police once a month if this would reduce
crime, with west, east, and central Africans and
whites being less enthusiastic about this prospect.
Factoring in those who said they “might” be willing
to allow monthly searches, nearly 81% said they
would open their doors to law enforcement, with
only 16% expressing dissent. Surprisingly, in the
residential hotels, respondents were slightly more in
favour of law enforcement intervention.

These attitudes towards punishment and law
enforcement suggest that the residents of inner
Johannesburg do not think much of constitutional
protections, either for the criminals or, indeed, for
themselves. This reflects a sense of desperation in
the face of crime that many feel makes the streets
unsafe to walk, and against which the state is losing
its battle to assert control.

It is not surprising that foreign nationals are less
enthusiastic about radical solutions to the crime
problem, because if public opinion is anything to go
by, they would likely be on the receiving end of
these measures. When asked who they think
commits most of the crime in their area, 63%
mentioned “foreigners”. This is particularly
remarkable given that nearly a quarter of the sample
was foreign.

In fact, 39% of foreign nationals said, among other
things, that foreigners were responsible for crime.

However, unemployment, a cause particularly
favoured by the black community when discussing
the causes of crime in other polls, emerged again
as the most prevalent explanation: 70% mentioned
unemployed people as the source of crime.

**Perceptions of policing**

With 70% of the people interviewed saying that the
crime situation had not got better despite
government efforts, and most of them blaming
government for this lack of progress, it might be
expected that public opinion about the police
would be poor. But most of the respondents who
had been to the local stations said their visit had
either improved their opinion of the police (39%) or
that it had stayed the same (36%). Furthermore,
most people felt the local police were doing a good
(20%) or fair (41%) job, with the remaining 38%
feeling they were not doing well.

Those with a negative opinion of the police were
most likely to blame this on corruption (63%) or
laziness (17%), with very few mentioning racism,
brutality, or a lack of resources. In the Johannesburg
Central station area, people were less likely to think
the police corrupt (58%), and more likely to think
them lazy (20%), an opinion that may be due in
part to the drug trade in Hillbrow.

The vast majority (77%) of the respondents said
they saw a police member in uniform at least once
a day, and 92% said they knew the location of their
local police station. Of these, 62% had actually
been to this station. Most people (84%) knew to
call 10111 if they had an emergency, and only 6%
did not know a number to call. This level of public
awareness is truly remarkable, but may be due in
part to the high level of population density and
crime in the area.

An impressive 22% knew the name of a police
member they could approach with a problem. This
single fact is very reassuring with regard to the
implementation of community-oriented policing in
the area. One of the key tenets of community-
oriented policing is that police members should
establish personal relationships with community
members, getting out of their cars and into the
neighbourhoods for more contact with the people
they serve. While there is plenty of room to improve this picture, the fact that over a fifth of those polled knew a local cop by name is quite positive.

Hillbrow was one of the areas where the high-density policing operations conducted under the geographic focus of the National Crime Combating Strategy (popularly known as Operation Crackdown) were premiered. These operations generally involve both police and military personnel conducting building searches, cordon and search operations, and roadblocks, in the most crime-prone station areas in the country. Sixty-one percent said there had been a Crackdown operation in their area in the last two years, and 70% of these people felt that it had helped to reduce crime in their area. This police policy initiative has apparently caught the public imagination and garnered its support, even in areas as hard hit by operations as Hillbrow.

Residential hotel residents, often the targets of these raids, were not as convinced of their efficacy. Eighty per cent said they knew of an operation in their area, but only 36% felt that it was worthwhile. This is despite the fact that residents of these hotels were just as likely to say they would allow monthly searches of their homes if this would reduce crime.

Unfortunately, other crime prevention initiatives were not as well-known or popular. Very few people understood the community police forum (CPF) concept. Only 17% said they understood what a community police forum was supposed to do. Of these, the most popular response was “to give the police information”. Only 2% (20 people) said that they knew of a CPF in their area, and of these, only 11 people said they had ever attended a meeting. Five out of the six that regularly attended the meetings said the CPF was working, while those who occasionally or never attended were split three to three on the issue.

This level of awareness falls far short of what the government hopes to achieve with the CPF programme. Every citizen should have access to a CPF, yet only 2% of the inner Johannesburg community was aware that a CPF was operating in their area.

The overall public evaluation of police performance is therefore mixed. The police get top marks for visibility, seem to be doing well in terms of community contact, and are not often accused of brutality and racism. On the other hand, there is a very widespread view that the police are corrupt, especially in Hillbrow. The CPFs do not seem to be widely known, which is a shame given that the poll indicated that the public has a great deal of knowledge about crime in the area. The public seems to prefer, and to be open to, massive raids and searches of the ‘Crackdown’ variety. The willingness to give up basic privacy rights for some modicum of protection reflects the desperation felt by a community where 88% of the people do not feel safe walking the streets at night.
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