Review of the radiographic modalities used during dental implant therapy - A narrative
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ABSTRACT
The introduction of digital x-ray receivers which replaced conventional films was a significant radiographic development that is commonly used in daily dental practice. Dental implant therapy (DIT) is a sought after dental therapeutic intervention and dental radiography is an essential component contributing to the success of treatment. Dental radiographs taken in daily practice are generally conventional two-dimensional images and/or three-dimensional images. Ideally, the choice of radiographic technique should be determined after a thorough clinical examination and comprehensive consideration of the advantages, indications, and drawbacks.

Digital three-dimensional modalities that have emerged over the last decade have been incorporated into DIT with the assumption that treatment outcomes will be improved. These modalities are constantly being reassessed and improved but there is a paucity of published information regarding the assessment of variables such as dosages and dimensional accuracy, suggesting that further research in these matters is necessary. This is crucial in order to obtain evidence-based information that may influence future radiographic practices.

In this narrative, the authors present the most commonly used dental radiographic modalities currently used in DIT.
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INTRODUCTION
Dental implant therapy (DIT) is a valuable and highly successful dental intervention that intends to replace missing teeth.1,2 This therapy has become increasingly popular and substantial numbers of dental implants are placed and restored every year.3

The discovery of X-rays in 1895 by Wilhelm Röntgen,4 has revolutionized dental and medical therapies and imaging during various phases of dental implant therapy has a vital role in its success.2,5,6 Moreover, the development and transition to digital imaging further enhances the radiographic acumen and offers many advantages such as reduced radiation, immediate acquisition of the radiograph, manipulation of radiographic characteristics like contrast.4

Different imaging techniques are used during DIT which have various advantages and disadvantages. The authors present the most common dental radiographic modalities that are currently used during DIT.

CURRENT MAXILLOFACIAL RADIOPHIC TECHNOLOGIES
Various imaging techniques are employed during dental implant therapy including the conventional two-dimensional examinations namely, intraoral periapical, panoramic, and lateral cephalometric radiographs, and the more sophisticated three-dimensional x-ray volumes such as Computed Tomography (CT), Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT). Each radiographic technology has indications, advantages, and disadvantages.

Conventional two-dimensional techniques
Intraoral periapical radiography (IPR)
IPR is a widely used imaging technique that exposes a limited number of teeth.7 In daily practice, these radiographs are used to evaluate the teeth’s architecture, position, boundaries, caries detection, and the status of the periapical regions.7 During implant therapy, the IRPs are popular and indicated for potential implant site assessment and during the post-implant assessment.8,9

IPR has multiple advantages during implant therapy and is widely available, cost-effective, provides images with high spatial and contrast resolutions, and allows for the assessment of potential implant site boundaries in the vertical and mesiodistal dimensions.8,9,10 Although IPR usually produces only nominal geometrical distortion,
Lateral cephalometric radiography (LCR)

LCR is a conventional, two-dimensional radiographic technique that depicts the lateral aspect of the maxillofacial region. This view provides the clinicians with information about the teeth inclination, jaws relationships, and the soft and hard tissue profile of the patient. Although the use of LCR during DIT is not very popular, it may be indicated in order to plan implant treatments in the edentulous midline areas; as this radiograph provides a cross-sectional view of these anterior regions. This view allows a suitable evaluation of the bone quantities in both dimensions (buccolingual and vertical planes of the anterior alveolar ridges), particularly that the LCR has a constant magnification ratio. Disadvantages of this technique during DIT also exist and include the superimposition of the anatomical structures, teeth and bone, lies in the opposite side of the jaw. Uncertain assessment of bone quality and geometric distortion can be encountered if a patient is incorrectly positioned. The estimated ED is 2-6 µSv.

Orthopantomography or panoramic radiography (PAN)

Panoramic radiographs are a widely used imaging technique that shows a panoramic view of the maxilla and mandible. This modality is unique as only anatomical structures that lie inside a three-dimensional horseshoe-shaped zone, namely the focal trough, are depicted clearly on the radiograph. Panoramic radiographs are commonly used in various treatment phases during DIT. These radiographs are indicated during the initial evaluation of the potential implant site and the adjacent structures and frequently prescribed directly after the surgical placement of several implants and during further follow-up. PAN provides a broad view of the jaws, is relatively less expensive, and is widely available. Nevertheless, these radiographs can be compromised due to geometrical distortion and inherent magnification, reproducibility challenges, uncertainties in bone density assessment, lack of cross-sectional images, inferior resolution compared with intraoral radiographs, and greater technique sensitive.

The head position during the acquisition of these radiographs is critical particularly during implant planning as any minor deviation can result in magnification (15-22%) and image distortion. The ED ranges from 9-24 µSv.

Three-dimensional radiographic techniques

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

MRI is a sophisticated imaging technique that uses a non-ionizing magnetic field and radio waves to reconstruct cross-sectional images. The use of this modality in dental fields including implant therapies is limited, nonetheless, it can be beneficial to use during the planning phase. During implant therapy, the MRI provides cross-sectional images with high soft-tissue contrast and fewer artifacts that allow the radiographic distinction of neurovascular structures, oral mucosa, and the cortical bone.

Disadvantages like higher costs, prolonged acquisitions time, challenges of volume interpretation, poor characterization of bone minerals, artifacts from ferromagnetic metals, and contra-indication for certain patients (e.g. cardiac pacemaker, surgical clips in situ) contributed to its limited use in DIT.

Computed tomography (CT)

CT is a three-dimensional imaging technique that was developed by Hounsfield (1972). This modality improved the diagnostic capability of clinicians in medicine and dentistry. Several developments and multiple generations of the modality have evolved during the last decade which has increased the resultant image qualities. The CT units generate a fan-shaped X-ray beams that are received by multiple detector arrays where the remaining beam intensities are measured. These intensity values are incorporated into mathematical algorithms in order to reconstruct multiplanar images.

The use of CT scans during DIT is indicated during the planning phase, in particular, complex cases where the implant site is in close proximity to vital structures and the quantity of the bone is less than optimal. CT scans are also indicated when bone augmentation procedures are needed in sinuses and alveolar ridges, during computer-guided surgeries, and in post-operative complications.

CT scans are considered advantageous during implant therapy; as this modality provides three-dimensional multiplanar views, accurate dimensions, and optimal resolution of the potential implant site and the surrounding structures. CT scans also allow for reliable quantitative and qualitative bone assessment, vital for the success of DIT, before implant surgery. The main drawbacks of this technique are the generation of high radiation doses compared with conventional radiographs, less availability, higher cost implications, and possible volume artifacts that may arise from metallic objects and patient movement. The estimated ED ranges from 280 to 1410 µSv.

Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT)

CBCT is a relatively recent three-dimensional imaging modality that uses a cone-shaped x-ray beam and digital x-ray receivers to reconstruct multiplanar images using special algorithms. The commercial use of this technique
in dentistry began in 1999 in Europe and is now a vastly popular imaging modality in the dental practice.\textsuperscript{3}

The use of CBCT scans during DIT is indicated during the planning phase especially complex cases involving proximity of vital structures and low bone quantity, in cases where bone augmentation procedures are needed in sinuses and alveolar ridges, during computer-guided surgeries, and in post-operative complications.\textsuperscript{5,13}

The use of the modality during DIT has been growing exponentially as it is readily available and easy to use.\textsuperscript{9,20} CBCT’s offer fast volumes acquisition (10-80s), lower radiation doses when compared to CT’s, high spatial resolution, dimensional accuracy, cheaper unit cost (compared to CT), usually provided with more user-friendly and dentist-oriented software especially when used during implant planning, and the ability to limit the field of view to the region of interest for example just the potential implant site.\textsuperscript{5,21-23} It is worth mentioning that variations in some advantages, volume qualities, and radiation doses of different x-ray machines do exist.\textsuperscript{20}

CBCT is advantageous in potential implant site assessment as it provides comprehensive anatomical details allowing accurate surgical planning and possible integration with guided surgical techniques.\textsuperscript{20}

The drawbacks of CBCT include poor soft-tissue contrast, higher radiation doses when compared with conventional techniques, beam hardening artifact when metallic objects are present, and extra cost implications.\textsuperscript{9} The estimated ED ranges from 19-1,073 μSv and is influenced by the field of view and individual units’ dose parameters.\textsuperscript{10,13}

**PHASES OF DENTAL IMPLANT THERAPY WHERE RADIOGRAPHIC MODALITIES ARE INDICATED**

The quality and quantity of the anatomical details gathered via dental imaging of the potential implant site influences the success of DIT.\textsuperscript{2} Information on the jaw bone anatomy, the quantity and the quality of the alveolar ridge, detection of underlying pathologies, and demarcation of the vertical and horizontal magnification factors in various segments of the jaw.\textsuperscript{9,11,23}

Various factors influence the selection of a suitable imaging technique during DIT. These include the amount of anatomical detail required for the treatment, the amount of information gathered through clinical evaluation of the patient, variations in the clinical judgments among clinicians, radiation concerns, and patient-related factors such as esthetic demands and complications risk assessment.\textsuperscript{11,23}

The authors further depict the most common radiographic modalities used in the different stages of DIT.

**Radiographic examination:**

**Planning phase**

Thorough planning is a prerequisite for successful dental implant treatment and this decreases the risk of potential postoperative complications. During this stage of treatment, the clinician acquires pre-operative vital clinical information on the potential implant site.

Dental imaging plays a major role during this phase as it provides information relating to the potential implant site which includes the alveolar ridge dimensions, the quality of the bone, the spatial relationship of the implant site and other vital structures, determination of the required number of implants, and assessment of the prosthetic needs.\textsuperscript{5,6}

Various imaging techniques are being used during this phase including conventional two dimensional to more sophisticated three-dimensional views,\textsuperscript{8} nevertheless, an ideal single imaging modality has not been proposed.\textsuperscript{9} The choice of the type of radiograph to prescribe is often subjective.\textsuperscript{8} Other technical aspects also play a role in this decision and include related costs, accessibility and availability of certain imaging techniques, and radiation exposure levels.\textsuperscript{6}

The Intraoral periapical radiographs (IRP) are very commonly used during this phase to initially assess the potential implant sites, appreciation of vital structures, and the discovery of any pathologies in the region of interest.\textsuperscript{5,6} The geometrical precision of this type of examination is uncertain and greatly depends on patient compliance and operator skills,\textsuperscript{9} making this mode of examination precarious if it is the only radiographical mode of examination. The use of radiographic markers is then recommended to calibrate and enhance the accuracy of measurements particularly in the vertical plane.\textsuperscript{9}

Panoramic radiographs are another example of widespread two-dimensional examinations utilized during this phase. Several published reports indicate the beneficial use of panoramic radiographs during implant treatment\textsuperscript{24-26} and condone it even as a single radiographic mode of examination.\textsuperscript{27} The leading prescription of panoramic examinations during implant therapy is documented in multiple surveys conducted in various geographical parts of the world.\textsuperscript{28-30}

These examinations are considered simple, widely available, less costly, and expose the patients to only low radiation doses (compared with CT/CBCT).\textsuperscript{24-26} In contrast, single panoramic examinations during this phase are not recommended by other reports\textsuperscript{33-35} due to discrepancies found during the assessment of vertical dimensions on the images. The reliability of the dimensions obtained in panoramic radiographs is influenced by the proper patient positioning during the acquisition.\textsuperscript{35}

One of the most important pitfalls of planning implant placement on panoramic radiographs is the inconsistency of the vertical and horizontal magnification factors in various segments of the jaw.\textsuperscript{35,38} Often, within the domain of the focal trough layer, only certain points are found to reveal distortion-free images of the anatomical structure.\textsuperscript{35}

Challenges in the interpretation of volume, increased costs, longer acquisition times, and limited availability hinder the wide use of MRI during implant planning.\textsuperscript{9} Although its use is limited, when it is considered for implant planning the use of T1-weighted sequences is recommended.\textsuperscript{10}
During the planning of implants on the T1 sequence, the cortical bone presents with low signal i.e. appears dark in contrast to the adjacent spongy bone which appears brighter. MRI is advantageous during treatment planning in cases where the identification of the neurovascular bundles was not precisely identified using other radiographic techniques. This modality offers higher soft-tissue contrast and is capable of portraying the neurovascular bundles within their canals.

During the last decade, CBCT has become increasingly employed during several dental procedures in particular during implant planning, especially in that it exposes the patients to lower radiation doses when compared to the traditional CT. A survey conducted in the United States (2016) showed that the use of the CBCT was a commonly prescribed method of radiographic investigation during implant planning in academic and private sectors, 49.6% and 59.1% respectively.

The use of CBCT during implant planning is advantageous as this imaging technique delivers distortion and superimposition free multi-planar images that allow for precise assessment and measurements of the potential implant sites. Using three-dimensional imaging e.g. CBCT during implant planning can improve the treatment outcomes by enhancing the evaluation of the patient’s specific anatomy and reduces the potential of jeopardizing the surrounding structures such as perforation of sinuses and cortical borders and injuring the neurovascular structures during surgery.

CBCT linear measurements are accurate and reliable during the implant planning stage, confirmed in a recent systematic review. Although submillimeter discrepancies have been reported in many published reports, the authors have provided confirmation that the 2 mm safety margin must be employed, as ranges of over and underestimation of the measurements are also reported.

If computer-guided implant surgery is indicated, three-dimensional examinations such as CBCT and/or CT are mandatory. The surgical and prosthetic phases of implant treatment can be virtually simulated by integrating CBCT and/or CT volumes in the implant planning software. Interactive virtual implant surgery can be simulated and adjusted the quantity of the available bone, circumvent superimposition free multi-planar images that allow for precise assessment and measurements of the potential implant sites.

Overview of bone quality

The density of bone at the potential implant site is considered one of the vital factors affecting the success of the treatment. Bone quality is not only confined to the density or the mineral content, but includes aspects like the internal architecture of the bone, the alignment of the trabeculae, and matrix-related properties.

In CT scans, the tissue densities are represented in Hounsfield units (HU) that are considered a reliable measure to assess the bone density at the candidate implant sites. In CBCT volumes, the evidence on the reliability of using CBCT gray values for assessment of bone density is uncertain particularly since these values are not absolute as compared to the CT’s HU.

Discrepancies are reported between the CT’s HU values and CBCT’s gray values and on the contrary, other reports conclude the opposite. However, the efficacy of using conversion factors to convert the gray values into HU values is also reported.

Generally, the CBCT gray values are generated in an arbitrary and predetermined fashion by the manufacturers. An obstacle is the absence of a standard scaling system among the CBCT manufacturers to standardize these gray values, consequently, the interpretation and comparison of these values acquired from different CBCT units will be difficult and impractical in certain instances. The resultant CBCT gray values are greatly influenced by the exposure parameters, machine specifications, and object positions within the x-ray units.

Radiographic examinations: Surgical phase (Intra-operative)

Imaging during this phase of treatment i.e. during and directly after surgery, is indicated to confirm the accurate placement of the implant within the planned surgical site and to ensure an ideal position for the prosthetic restoration to follow.

Conventional two-dimensional images are commonly used during this phase such as Periapical and panoramic radiographs, though periapical radiographs are usually considered adequate for this stage.

The justification to use CBCT in this phase according to the American Academy of Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology (AAOMR) is confined to cases where there is an alteration in the patient’s sensory perception and implant mobility.

Radiographic examinations: Restorative phase

In this stage, the functional restoration is fabricated and integrated with the implant. Periapical radiographs are commonly utilized in this phase and aid in the assessment of the osteointegration of the fixture with the surrounding bone.

This radiograph also contributes as a baseline radiograph, particularly for future bone attachment level comparisons, and the evaluation of the mechanical integration of the different implant components. Moreover, optimum elimination of the peri-implant excess of the cementing material can be confirmed using periapical radiographs; the presence of these materials may result in peri-implant complications.

It has also been reported that digital periapical radiographs revealed a greater potential in which misfit of the implant-abutment surface could be detected when compared to the analogue counterparts. In addition, the vertical angle of the x-ray beam is found to significantly influence the radiographic evaluation of the implant components where the misfit may be superimposed depending on the angle of the beam.

The attainment of a parallel relationship between the x-ray receiver and the implant long axis inside the bone is vital and can be achieved using x-ray film holder.
Radiographic examinations: Maintenance phase (Post-prosthetic)

This phase commences directly after the completion of the prosthetic phase and lasts throughout life as long as the implant is present in the patient's mouth.5,6

Radiographs are indicated in this phase to ensure and monitor peri-implant osteointegration, evaluate the bone levels, and assess the overall status of the implant, restoration, and surrounding periodontium.4-9

Conventional two-dimensional imaging techniques like intraoral periapical radiograph (IPR) or panoramic radiographs especially in instances where the patient received multiple implants, are recommended by AAOMR to suffice this phase of treatment.8 IPR is considered more advantageous to evaluate the peri-implant region compared to CBCT; as the metallic nature of the implant body causes radiographic artifacts (i.e. beam hardening) in the resultant CBCT volumes, which hinders the precise evaluation of the region of interest.9

Although in most cases conventional imaging is sufficient for the assessment, in the presence of complications related to the procedure like alteration in the sensation and persistent maxillary sinus infections, the European Association for Osseointegration (E.A.O) justifies using CBCT for further investigation.13

From a radiographic viewpoint, a marginal bone loss of 0.9-1.6 mm around the implant during the first year of restoration and less than 0.2 mm in the following successive years is considered a marker of successful treatment.67,69 A reliable evaluation considers several factors that influence the radiographic assessment of marginal bone attachment levels on IPR.

This includes the position of x-ray receiver, the x-ray beam angulation, the position of the implant in the buccolingual plane, and the possible distortions in the interproximal bony margins on the radiographs.63,67 For that reason, strict compliance to achieve an ideal parallel relationship between the film and the long axis of the implant is mandatory to obtain reliable radiographs.63,67

Additionally, a clinician should consider the limitation of two-dimensional radiographs as they do not reveal the status of bony structures that lie in the buccal and lingual/palatal aspect of the implants.63,67

EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES
Guided implant surgery (GIS)

Guided implant surgery is a relatively recent method that allows pre-operative virtual simulation of the various phases of dental implant therapy using special software. This simulation is then transferred into the surgical site within the mouth using surgical drilling guides or templates printed in using three-dimensional printers.43,71

For this approach to be successful, three-dimensional volumes i.e. CT or CBCT have to be available in order to be integrated into the implant planning software.43,71

The inherent artifacts particularly streaking artifact due to densely radiopaque materials may hinder the accurate presentation of the teeth surfaces in these 3D volumes. This issue can be solved by aligning or combining the x-ray volumes with its counterpart intra-oral or a stone model surface scan of the teeth and surrounding oral mucosa.43,72

The clinical efficacy of the use of GIS has been reported.5-77 For optimal success, the virtual planning requires to be accurately transferred to the patient's mouth which ideally should match the planned dimensions.43 For this reason, ensuring proper alignment in-between 3D volumes and the model scans is indispensable to circumvent inherent imprecision in the resultant surgical template.43

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Various imaging techniques are being used during different phases of dental implant therapy. The selection of a certain radiographic examination should be done after a thorough clinical evaluation of the patient including dental and medical history. Radiographic modalities used during implant therapy vary in the indications, advantages, and disadvantages offered, considering these factors aids in the appropriate selection of the examination that suffices the phase of the treatment.

The three-dimensional views are advantageous during DIT, but still yields a considerable amount of radiation compared to conventional counterparts,78 which is a concern since this modality is fast becoming a routine and popular procedure in various parts of the world.38,79 Continuous updates on the most recent radiographic techniques, dimensional accuracy of radiographic modalities, and radiation doses would assist the radiation authorities to establish imaging protocols that ensure clinical efficacy and expose the patient to the least radiation doses.
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