Oral hygiene habits and status of orthodontic patients attending the University of Pretoria, Oral and Dental Hospital

ABSTRACT

Background
Most orthodontic patients struggle to maintain good oral hygiene during treatment.

Aim
To determine oral hygiene habits and status of patients undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment at University of Pretoria, Oral and Dental Hospital.

Design
A cross-sectional descriptive study.

Material and methods
A modified, validated, self-administered questionnaire was used, and clinical examinations were conducted using Orthodontic Plaque Index, Gingival index, and Bleeding index. The questionnaire sought to determine knowledge and practice patterns. Data analysis included frequencies and correlations using chi-square test, with a significance of p<0.05.

Results
Fifty patients participated with 34(68%) being female with ages from 10 to 28 and a mean of 18.5. Seventy percent avoided sticky foodstuff, 74% used mouthwash, 56% flossed daily and 84% brushed twice daily. However, 82% consumed sugar containing drinks.

Clinical exam revealed an Orthodontic Plaque Index mean of 2.6, Gingival Index mean of 0.1 while the Bleeding Index was 13.3 and 90% had normal gingiva. There was a significant difference in Gingival Index score between patients at age category 10-19 and 18-24 (p< 0.05).

Conclusions
This study revealed a satisfactory oral hygiene status among patients at the institution with the majority of patients maintaining good oral hygiene practices. However, 82% consumed sugar sweetened beverages.
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BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE

Orthodontic treatment is received by individuals with dentofacial anomalies and the treatment is done to improve their appearance. Treatment is undertaken using different techniques involving the use of fixed orthodontic appliances, removable appliances, and functional appliances.

The use of fixed orthodontic appliances (archwires and different ligating systems where orthodontic brackets are attached to the teeth) makes it difficult for the patients to keep their oral hygiene to an optimum level of cleanliness.

Patients’ oral hygiene is recognized as an important determinant for orthodontic treatment time and quality of the orthodontic treatment outcome. Poor oral hygiene attracts significant plaque accumulation around the brackets, and subsequent white spot lesions can occur rapidly, usually on the cervical and middle third of the buccal surfaces of bracketed teeth.

Periodontal complications are observed in patients with poor oral hygiene during orthodontic treatment; the complications include gingivitis, gingival hyperplasia, gingival recession, periodontitis and more.

As reported by one study, having good oral hygiene improves the success of the orthodontic treatment, as good oral hygiene promotes tooth movement and lowers the chances of oral diseases.
Oral hygiene plays a very important role in orthodontics and can have a huge impact on the outcome or results of the treatment. Several studies have been conducted in assessing the status of oral hygiene amongst the patients who are undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment. A study conducted at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital in Nigeria where the oral hygiene status of forty-three orthodontic patients was assessed using the Simplified Oral hygiene Index, revealed that 62.8% of the patients exhibited good oral hygiene and 37.2% had fair oral hygiene while no patients were found with poor oral hygiene. This study did not include other indices such as the gingival index, orthodontic plaque index and bleeding index. The omission of these indices could lead to incorrect diagnosis, as it can happen that a patient can present with a low plaque index but show signs of gingivitis. The latter could indicate that the patient may have brushed efficiently prior to their orthodontic appointment, which could be interpreted as the patient having knowledge of what they need to do to maintain good oral hygiene but might not be practicing good oral hygiene religiously. Using this index only therefore cannot be seen as reliable and a definitive determinant of the patient's oral hygiene status.

The Oral Hygienist plays a very important role during orthodontic treatment in ensuring that the patient has all the information they need for different phases of the orthodontic treatment. Educating and motivating the patient who has orthodontic appliances to maintain good oral hygiene is necessary to decrease the risks of oral diseases. The risks can be decreased if both the practitioners (Orthodontist, Dentist and Oral Hygienist) and the patients are committed. It is also the responsibility of the patient to comply to the treatment objectives and the information and preventive measures given to him/her by the practitioner.

To the authors knowledge this study has never been undertaken for the institution and therefore it is important to assess the oral hygiene habits and status of orthodontic patients attending the University of Pretoria, Oral and Dental Hospital. Findings from the study will help the institution to put in measures necessary to ensure a satisfied experience of orthodontic patients.

**METHODOLOGY**

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Pretoria, Faculty of Health Sciences Ethics committee (Ref 504/2015). No personal details of the patients were disclosed, and all information was strictly confidential and anonymous.

A cross-sectional descriptive study of patients undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment was conducted using a modified, validated, self-administered questionnaire and clinical examination of teeth and the gingiva. The clinical examinations of patients made use of Orthodontic Plaque Index (OPI), Gingival Index (GI) and Bleeding Index (BI) which were recorded for each patient.

The validated questionnaire was adapted from a study by Atassi and Awartani (2010). It consisted of twenty-three (23) questions that covered topics related to the knowledge and practice of patients concerning fixed orthodontic treatment. The knowledge questions consisted of sixteen (16) items that sought to determine whether patients knew the reasons for having braces, whether they knew what types of foods to avoid and whether they had been to their dentist/orthodontist for a professional cleaning. The questions were both close ended and open ended to determine the depth of patients’ knowledge.

To determine the patient’s practices, seven (7) questions were asked, and they sought to determine whether patients flossed their teeth, whether they brushed their teeth and the frequency per day and whether they used mouth rinse. These questions also consisted of both closed and open-ended questions.

**Clinical examination**

The use of clinical examination instruments (mouth mirror, periodontal probe, tweezers, and mouth retractor) was used to assess the oral hygiene status of the participants.

The process of clinical examination was employed using the following indices:

**Bleeding index**

Each tooth present was gently probed with a periodontal probe at six sites (mesial, mid, and distal on both buccal and lingual surfaces). Bleeding was scored as present or absent and the number of sites where bleeding was present were recorded.

The number of sites where bleeding was recorded were divided by the total number of available sites in the mouth and multiplied by 100 to express the bleeding index as a percentage.

**Gingival index**

The criteria used for gingival assessment was done according to the work of Löe and Silness (1963) where the marginal and interproximal tissues were assessed and scored using the scores below:

1 = Normal gingiva.

2 = Moderate inflammation - redness, edema and glazing, bleeding on probing.

3 = Severe inflammation - marked redness and edema, ulceration with tendency to spontaneous bleeding.

**Orthodontic plaque index**

The Orthodontic Plaque Index (OPI) is a special index for patients with fixed orthodontic appliances. The OPI focuses on the tooth area in the immediate vicinity of the bracket, since additional and relatively inaccessible plaque niches arise at these sites.

To record the OPI, the dentition was divided into sextants. A disclosing agent (2-Tone) was used to disclose plaque.
The plaque accumulation on each tooth surface adjacent to the bracket base was evaluated (mesial, distal, occlusal/incisal, and cervical) and recorded in the clinical data collection sheet.

- No plaque deposits on the tooth surfaces surrounding the bracket base.
- Plaque deposits on one tooth surface at the bracket base.
- Plaque deposits on two tooth surfaces at the bracket base.
- Plaque deposits on three tooth surfaces at the bracket base.
- Plaque deposits on four tooth surfaces at the bracket base and/or gingival.

One examiner conducted the clinical examinations for all three indices. Ten subjects who volunteered to participate were examined on two occasions using the three indices (BI, GI, and OPI) to establish intra-examiner reliability.

The Kappa test was used to analyze the intra-examiner reliability and scored 71.4 percent, 79.7 percent, and 81 percent for GI, PI, and OPI respectively. All patients were seen during their regular recall appointments. The clinical assessment and the filling in of the questionnaire form took approximately twenty minutes (20 minutes) per participant.

The study was performed in the Postgraduate Clinic of the Department of Orthodontics at the Oral and Dental Hospital (University of Pretoria) and was conducted over the period of 21 weeks in 2018. The department had two full time registrars that were treating 160 patients among themselves that were undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment. With the population of 160 and a confidence interval of 95%, a minimum sample size of 47 was deemed to be sufficient. The selection criteria were:

- Treatment that consisted of full mouth fixed orthodontic appliances that had been in place for at least six months.
- No systemic diseases.
- No history of taking antibiotics for the last three months.
- No treatment by an Oral hygienist any time during the period preceding the study.

Fifty (50) patients that fitted the criteria and were able to come when the registrars had sessions which was Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesday and agreed to take part in the study. Quota sampling was used to choose the participants. This was done through identifying fifty (50) patients who were meeting the selection criteria as stated above. The patients who met the selection criteria were then conveniently sampled as they came in.

Data was analyzed with SPSS Version 25. Descriptive and analytical statistical tests were done, and the level of confidence was set at 95%. Chi square test was used to evaluate the association between variables: Level of significance was be set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

The study had 50 participants with 34 (68%) of them being female and 16 (32%) of them being male. The age ranged from 10 to 28 with a mean age of 18.5.

The knowledge and practice patterns of the study participants are shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Knowledge and practice patterns of participants (n=50).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Yes (n/%)</th>
<th>No (n/%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you know why you have braces?</td>
<td>49 (98)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Where you shown how to clean your teeth after your braces were done?</td>
<td>45 (90)</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you eat hard sticky food?</td>
<td>15 (30)</td>
<td>35 (70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you know why you should avoid hard sticky foods?</td>
<td>48 (96)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are you happy with your gums?</td>
<td>35 (70)</td>
<td>15 (30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practices</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do you use interdental brushes under your braces</td>
<td>25 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you use a mouthwash?</td>
<td>37 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have you had your teeth cleaned by a professional</td>
<td>40 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you drink sugar containing drinks</td>
<td>41 (82)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How often do you brush your teeth (n/%)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Once a day 3 (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice a day 42 (84)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three times a day 5 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many times do you use a mouth wash (n/%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a day 22 (44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice a day 14 (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three times a day 2 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How often do you floss (n/%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't floss 8 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a day 28 (56)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twice a week 13 (26)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four times a week 1 (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 above indicates that most of the patients had knowledge about orthodontic treatment and were aware what food to eat and had the knowledge that sticky and sugary drinks were not good for them. However as far as the practice was concerned 82% of the patients were consuming sugar containing drinks. More than half (56%) of the patients were flossing once a day, 84% were brushing twice a day and 80% of the patients had their teeth cleaned by the professional oral health practitioner.

Table 2. Mean Gingival, Bleeding and Orthodontic indices scores of participants n=50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std deviation</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gingival index</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding index</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthodontic index</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean gingival index is 0.1 and it shows that most patients had normal gingiva with no inflammation. The bleeding index mean was 13.3 which indicated that most patients had bleeding with 1 patient with a very high score of 83. As far as the OPI was concerned the mean was found to be 2.6 which means that there was plaque found on two to three surfaces of the bracket bases.

When evaluating the association between two indices scores (BI and OPI) and age and gender there were no
significant differences (p>0.05). There were also no significant differences between gender and age as far as frequency of brushing, flossing, use of mouth rinse, appearance of gums and consuming sweetened drinks (p>0.05).

There was also no correlation between age and GI score (p=0.21). However, there was a significant correlation between age and GI score (p=0.02) with more than half of patients in the age category 10-19 years of age having a zero score (58%) as compared to 16% of 24-28. See Table 3. It was also interesting to realise that only 8% had a score of 1 and 92% of the patients had a score of zero which meant that more than 90% of the patients had normal gingiva. See Table 3 below.

DISCUSSION

The study had 50 participants with 34 (68%) of them being female and 16 (32%) of them being male. This could be explained by the fact that most females are conscious of their overall appearance and the possibility that the female patients were easily and conveniently available during the period of data collection. Orthodontic treatment aims at achieving aesthetic harmony, functional efficiency, and structural balance of the dentofacial region.17

Females patients could be well motivated to have this procedure done on them as it could lead to improved personal appearance. The age ranged from 10-28 years with a mean age of 18.5. The latter finding could be due to many reasons why patients in younger years seek orthodontic treatment, this inter alia includes the desire to have better dental appearance, straight teeth, self-confidence and social acceptance.18,19,20

In testing the practices and the knowledge of the patients, the findings indicated that most patients were knowledgeable and well informed about diet during orthodontic treatment (see Table 1). This might be because oral health care workers in the department of orthodontics in the institution availed themselves to educate and motivate patients before and after the installation of fixed orthodontic brackets, a fact that was reported by patients.

While patients knew what food to consume and what to avoid, 82% of patients were consuming sugary containing drinks which was higher than a similar study.13 This percentage is very high and indicates that while patients reported that they knew what foodstuffs to take and the fact that sugar containing drinks was not good for them, their practices did not reflect their knowledge. This was not surprising as literature indicate that knowledge does not always translate into changes in behaviour or attitude, but that changes in behaviour are influenced by conditions in which people live.21 Many health promotional activities fail because health professionals assume that by providing health education, knowledge will increase, and this would result in change of behaviour and attitudes.21

The high consumption of sugar sweetened beverages by most patients is a cause of concern and therefore the oral health workers treating these patients need to reinforce that aspect of knowledge.

According to the findings 56% of the patients indicated that they brushed twice a day. Again, the oral health care workers in this department should enforce the importance of flossing once a day to the patients. A high percentage of patients (84%) indicated that they brushed twice a day which is what is recommended during patient education. Only 6% of the patients brushed once a day and these patients must be reminded of the significance of brushing at least twice a day.

It is equally important that patients who are on fixed orthodontic treatment should visit an oral hygienist or a dentist to have their teeth professionally cleaned and checked up to manage and eliminated the occurrence of oral diseases. The findings indicate that 80% of the patients have visited the oral hygienist or dentist to have their teeth scaled and polished. This means 20% of the subjects have not had their teeth professionally cleaned. These patients need to be booked for professional cleaning and reminded of the importance of having their teeth professionally cleaned regularly.

The study found that a high percentage (90%) of patients undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment in the department of orthodontics at the Oral and Dental Hospital had normal gingiva. This finding contrasts with a study conducted among orthodontic patients in King Saudi Hospital.15 In this study 40% of the patients indicated to have a fair oral hygiene while 60% were indicated to have poor oral hygiene.

The higher percentage in this study could be explained by the fact that the study sample consisted of a higher percentage of female patients and females in general are known to have better hygiene standards compared to males. In the King Saudi Hospital study there was had a higher percentage of male participants (64%) and a low number of female participants (36%).

The high percentage in this study is also an indication that patients took the oral hygiene instruction that was given to them seriously and practised them. This is evidenced by the fact that 90% of the patients admitted that they were shown how to clean their teeth after the brackets were bonded and the fact that the majority of the patients brushed their teeth twice a day.

Table 3. Association between age and Gingival Index score n=50.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age category (years)</th>
<th>Gingival Index score (n/%)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Total (n/%)</th>
<th>P. value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-19</td>
<td>29 (58)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>31 (62)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-23</td>
<td>9 (18)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>11 (22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24-28</td>
<td>8 (16)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n/%)</td>
<td>46 (92)</td>
<td>4 (8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>50 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Even though the OPI revealed a mean of 2.6 which indicates that for most patients there were plus minus three surfaces in the vicinity of a bonded bracket that were covered by dental plaque. This can hypothetically give the impression that most patients have poor oral hygiene, hence this study combined OPI with other indices to get better understanding of the oral hygiene status of the patient.

A study by Ajayi E and Azodo C (2014) only used simplified plaque index and did not use other indices such as the gingival index, orthodontic plaque index and bleeding index. This was somewhat skewed as a patient can have a 10% or less plaque index but present with signs of gingivitis which indicates that the patient may have brushed efficiently prior to their orthodontic appointment.

Using this index only therefore cannot really predict the efficacy of the patient’s oral hygiene practices. The only conclusion that can be drawn from only using simplified plaque index is whether patient have knowledge on good oral hygiene regimen. The fact that patients in this study had about three surfaces covered with plaque might be an indication of the difficulty in cleaning around the brackets which is a common finding with patients undergoing Orthodontic treatment. The encouraging fact is that most patients had normal gingiva which means that reinforcement of oral hygiene practices can solve the problem.

The study performed by Atassi F & Awartani F (2010) depicted that motivating patients to maintain good oral hygiene practices can improve patient homecare regimen. The presence of an oral hygienist who is patient-centred in an orthodontic practice can therefore help to improve the oral hygiene status and knowledge of patients with fixed orthodontic treatment.

There was a significant association between age and GI score (p=0.02) with more than half of patients in the age category 10-19 years of age having a zero score (58%) as compared to 16% of 24-28. The finding in this study were similar to patients that were treated at university of Benin in Nigeria that found that the subjects aged between 11-20 years old exhibited a significantly higher level of good and fair oral hygiene status. One would have thought that the older ages would do better in terms of gingival health as their practices are expected to be better because it is assumed their understanding is higher.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study revealed a satisfactory oral hygiene status among this sample with 90% of the patients having normal gingiva, more than half flossing daily, used mouth wash and 84% brushing twice a day. Although this was the case patients had three surfaces on average having plaque around orthodontic brackets, a common occurrence in patients with orthodontic brackets.

There were no significant differences between gender and age as far as frequency of brushing, flossing, use of mouthwash, appearance of gums and consuming sweetened drinks. There was also no association between age and GI score. However this study showed a significant association between age and GI score, with more than half of patients in the age category 10-19 years of age having a zero score (58%) as compared to 16% of 24-28 ages. Although the majority of patients in this study reported that they were told what food to eat and how to practice oral hygiene 82% were consuming sugar sweetened beverages.

The study revealed that knowledge does not translate into change of behaviour and therefore the oral health care workers should continue educating and motivating these patients to maintain their oral health and providing recommendations for oral home care aids to enhance their compliance.

They should encourage the patients to gain an understanding of what their responsibilities are and to understand they are partners in their orthodontic treatment and have an opportunity to improve and then maintain good oral healthcare themselves. The staff must also understand that oral hygiene programs won’t be effective unless the team accept the responsibility for motivating their patients.

Limitations

This study is limited by the cross-sectional study design, and causality cannot be inferred. Response acquiescence is common in questionnaires that tend to determine habits that are considered taboo or have negative connotations. Despite the limitations, the current study provided useful information that may inform future oral health education approaches of patients at the institution.
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