Posture and isokinetic shoulder strength in female water polo players
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Background: Being overhead athletes, water polo players can present with muscular imbalances of the shoulder, between the internal rotators (IR) and external rotators (ER), leading to changes in posture and an increased risk of injury.

Objectives: To assess posture and isokinetic shoulder strength of female club-level water polo players.

Methods: A descriptive study assessing posture and isokinetic strength of the IR and ER shoulder muscles in 15 female club-level South African water polo players (age: 21.3 ± 1.5 years) was conducted. Posture was assessed using a posture grid. Isokinetic shoulder rotator muscle strength was tested over five repetitions concentrically and eccentrically at 60°/sec using a Biodex system 3 isokinetic dynamometer. The bilateral, reciprocal and functional dynamic control ratios (DCR) were calculated.

Results: Typical postures noted were a forward head, rounded shoulders, increased thoracic spine kyphosis, elevated non-dominant shoulder and mild scapula winging. The mean concentric reciprocal ratios for the dominant (52.2 ± 7%) and non-dominant (51.9 ± 6.4%) sides indicated ER muscle weakness. DCR values were within normal limits for the group. (D: 0.75 ± 0.2 and ND: 0.75 ± 0.1).

Conclusion: There is a trend for these female water polo players to have rounded shoulders and forward head postures, as well as ER muscle strength weakness, the combination of which could predispose the athletes to shoulder injury.
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Water polo is a high-intensity intermittent aquatic sport which places large physical demands on the participants and involves repetitive physical motions that are common to swimming and baseball pitching. Water polo consists of four quarters, where two teams of seven players per side attempt to score goals by throwing the ball into their opponents’ goal. Water polo is played in a pool measuring either 20 m by 10 m or 30 m by 20 m, with a depth of 1.8 m. During the throwing action, the mechanics are similar to those of baseball pitching. This forms a large component of the sport and involves the combination of muscle strength and coordination between the upper- and lower extremities. However, as the sport is played in a pool, unlike baseball or cricket, there are no stabilising surfaces from which to throw the ball. This, in turn, may increase the total forces on the joints at the shoulder complex.

Water polo players continuously place their shoulder joints under recurring stress while performing repetitive overhead movements which can cause joint instability and muscular imbalances between the internal rotator (IR) and external rotator (ER) muscles. Radaelli et al. claims that this imbalance may occur due to the more frequent contraction pattern of the IR muscles compared to the ER muscles. The cumulative loads placed on the posterior shoulder joint during deceleration during throwing in water polo can result in posterior stiffness associated with a greater imbalance between the internal and external rotator cuff muscles and translation of the humeral head, thus predisposing the athlete to shoulder injury.

Furthermore, during freestyle swimming in water polo, the head is more often out of the water and the ball positioned directly in front of the athlete, which places a heavy load on the shoulder joint and surrounding soft tissue. In addition, while swimming freestyle in water polo, the dominant stroke action is that of head-up. This requires an altered form of traditional freestyle with the head out of the water and the arm elevation more exaggerated, as the arms are keeping the ball in front of the face of the player. Furthermore, to increase the force of the throw when shooting, a greater amount of external rotation and abduction are required, enabling females for example to reach a speed to 16.8 m/s. The amount of strength required to perform these forceful movements predispose the shoulder region to instability and muscle imbalance between the internal and external shoulder rotators. Lynch et al. reported that swimmers and overhead athletes often develop swimmer’s shoulder which encompasses a variety of pathological injuries, such as rotator cuff tendinitis, shoulder instability and shoulder impingement.

A disparity in muscle balance may lead these athletes and swimmers to develop an increased risk of postural abnormalities and subsequently, to predispose them to shoulder injuries. However, there is limited evidence regarding the presence of muscle imbalances and postural abnormalities in water polo players. It has been established that if a malalignment in the posture is present, it may indicate that there is a muscle imbalance; thus postural orientation may play a role in sport performance. A study by Gradidge et al. showed that there is a relationship between poor shoulder posture and shoulder injury in water polo players.

The limited research into posture and shoulder muscle strength in water polo players makes this study important in assisting with the identification of these muscle imbalances and specific postural characteristics, which will be useful in future studies.
Methods
This was a descriptive study assessing the posture profiles and concentric and eccentric IR and ER shoulder muscle strength in 15 club-level female water polo players, aged between 18 and 25 years. Dominance was assessed as the preferred throwing arm. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand and written informed consent from each participant prior to testing. All participants were informed of the risk of muscle soreness, which is normal during the maximal isokinetic testing, prior to signing the informed consent. The study excluded any prospective participants with a shoulder, neck or back injury at the time of the testing, those who had suffered from a shoulder injury in the preceding six months, and those who had previous shoulder surgery, which would affect muscle strength output.

Posture assessment
The participants were asked to wear appropriate clothing so that the natural curves of the body could be seen. The subjects were asked to stand in their normal, comfortable anatomical position with the postural grid behind them and not to correct any postural abnormalities. A plumb line was used as a reference point in assessing the participant’s posture. Each participant was analysed by an experienced therapist from an anterior, posterior and lateral view using the posture grid. Anterior and posterior views included the assessment of shoulder height, scoliosis, scapula winging and lateral pelvic tilting. The lateral assessment included head position, shoulder orientation, lumbar and thoracic curvatures, and anterior or posterior pelvic tilting. The various components were rated by one experienced researcher on the following scale: 0 = no abnormality, 1 = slight, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe.

Isokinetic assessment
Isokinetic strength was assessed using a Biodex system 3 isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex Medical Systems, Shirley, New York). Maximal strength testing of the IR and ER shoulder muscles was performed concentrically and eccentrically in the modified neutral position. Prior to testing, participants were warmed up on an arm ergometer (Technogym, Cesena, Italy) for five minutes. Participants were seated, with their upper body stabilised by means of stabilisation straps to prevent unwanted movement. The axis of rotation was aligned as the line from the olecranon process through the humerus to the acromion process, ensuring that the subject had full, safe range of motion. The participant was shown what will be required from them in the testing procedure. Testing included a standard Biodex strength testing protocol of five maximal concentric repetitions at 60°/sec, followed by five maximal eccentric repetitions at 60°/sec. The testing was performed on both of the subject’s arms.

The following muscle strength ratios were calculated using the internal and external rotator muscle peak torque (PT) values:

- Dynamic control ratio (Nm): Eccentric External rotator PT / Concentric Internal rotator PT
- Bilateral deficit (%): (Dominant PT – Non-dominant PT) / Dominant PT x 100
- PT to body weight (Nm/kg): PT / body weight

Statistical Analysis
All data were descriptively analysed and are represented as means and standard deviations. A student’s t-test was used to assess bilateral differences in the strength results. Significance was accepted at p<0.05.

Results
Demographic results
Fifteen female club-level water polo players with a mean age of 21.3 ± 1.5 years were tested. They were 1.65 ± 0.60 m tall and weighed 67.1 ± 8.2 kg. The majority of the participants were right-side dominant (n=14).

Posture
Figure 1 shows the head and shoulder orientation, characterised as slight, moderate or severe. Most of the athletes displayed a slight forward head posture (n=11), whilst all had either a slight or moderate forward or rounded shoulder posture. Fourteen athletes had shoulder height discrepancies, with the majority having a slightly elevated non-dominant shoulder (n=9). Furthermore, six players had slight scapula winging and one had moderate scapula winging. Nine players were observed to have slight thoracic kyphosis and four athletes displayed slight scoliosis.

Isokinetics
Peak torque (PT)
Table 1 below shows the bilateral PT results for both concentric and eccentric tests for the water polo players. The ER peak torque values were lower than the IR peak torque values for both concentric and eccentric tests. There were no statistically significant bilateral differences found for either concentric (p = 1.103; p = 0.081) or eccentric peak torque values (p = 1.199; p = 0.207) for the internal and external shoulder rotators respectively.
Table 1. Concentric and eccentric peak torque external and internal shoulder rotation values for female, club-level water polo players at 60 °/s of dominant and non-dominant sides (N=15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscles</th>
<th>Dominant PT (N=15) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Non-dominant PT (N=15) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON IR (Nm)</td>
<td>33.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>29.6 ± 5.7</td>
<td>22.5 – 46.1</td>
<td>20.4 – 40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON ER (Nm)</td>
<td>17.1 ± 3.5</td>
<td>15.2 ± 3.0</td>
<td>12.0 – 23.7</td>
<td>10.6 – 21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC IR (Nm)</td>
<td>34.9 ± 5.7</td>
<td>32.7 ± 6.1</td>
<td>24.9 – 44.3</td>
<td>24.6 – 43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC ER (Nm)</td>
<td>24.0 ± 5.1</td>
<td>21.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td>16.1 – 32.7</td>
<td>14.7 – 29.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PT: peak torque; CON: concentric; ECC: eccentric; IR: Internal rotators, ER: External rotators, Min: minimum, Max: maximum

Table 2. Dominant and non-dominant mean concentric and eccentric peak torque to body weight ratios at 60 °/s in female, club-level water polo players (N=15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscles</th>
<th>Dominant PT/BW (N=15) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Non-dominant PT/BW (N=15) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON IR (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.32 – 0.67</td>
<td>0.29 – 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON ER (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.18 – 0.33</td>
<td>0.16 – 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC IR (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.39 – 0.67</td>
<td>0.38 – 0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC ER (Nm/kg)</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.23 – 0.59</td>
<td>0.22 – 0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PT: peak torque; CON: concentric; ECC: eccentric; IR: Internal rotators, ER: External rotators, Min: minimum, Max: maximum

Table 3. Dominant and non-dominant mean concentric and eccentric reciprocal ratios at 60 °/s in female, club-level water polo players (N=15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Dominant (N=15)</th>
<th>Range (%)</th>
<th>Non-dominant (N=15)</th>
<th>Range (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON/CON (%)</td>
<td>52.2 ± 7.0</td>
<td>40 to 65</td>
<td>51.9 ± 6.4</td>
<td>40 to 62</td>
<td>0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC/ECC (%)</td>
<td>69.9 ± 16.0</td>
<td>51 to 107</td>
<td>67.3 ± 10.9</td>
<td>55 to 93</td>
<td>0.652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Con/Con: Concentric/ Concentric, Ecc/Ecc: Eccentric/ Eccentric

Table 4. Concentric and eccentric mean bilateral ratios for internal and external shoulder rotation at 60 °/s in female club-level water polo players (N=15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contraction</th>
<th>IR (N=15) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range (%)</th>
<th>ER (N=15) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range (%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CON (%)</td>
<td>9 ± 11</td>
<td>-6 to 33</td>
<td>10 ± 6</td>
<td>-3 to 21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECC (%)</td>
<td>6 ± 10</td>
<td>-14 to 28</td>
<td>5 ± 30</td>
<td>-80 to 49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON: Concentric; ECC: Eccentric

Table 5. Dominant and non-dominant mean dynamic control ratios at 60 °/s in female club-level water polo players (N=15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Dominant (N=15)</th>
<th>Non-dominant (N=15)</th>
<th>Range (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCR</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.45 to 1.32</td>
<td>0.57 to 0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DCR: Dynamic Control Ratio

Peak torque/body weight ratio (PT/BW)

PT/BW (Nm/kg) values were recorded for both concentric and eccentric contractions (Table 2). There were no bilateral differences for the concentric IR PT/BW (p = 0.143) and eccentric ER PT/BW (p = 0.136) respectively. The PT/BW ratios on the dominant and non-dominant sides were also similar when the eccentric IR (p = 0.311) and ER PT/BW ratios (p = 0.244) were assessed.

Reciprocal ratio

The mean reciprocal ratios were calculated for both concentric and eccentric internal and external shoulder rotation on the dominant and non-dominant sides (Table 3). There were no bilateral differences for either the concentric (p = 0.914) or eccentric reciprocal ratios (p = 0.652). Although the mean values only show a slight ER muscle weakness, the range indicates that some athletes had a more pronounced ER muscle weakness for both concentric (40 to 62 %) and eccentric ratios (n = 4; 55 to 60 %). For the non-dominant side n = 11 had a concentric ratio below 62% and n = 6 for an eccentric ratio below 62%.

Bilateral deficit

The bilateral ratio was calculated for concentric and eccentric internal and external shoulder rotation muscles (Table 4). The mean ratios were within normal limits for both the concentric and eccentric movement patterns and for both IR and ER muscle groups. However, when assessing the range there were athletes (Con ER: n = 8 and Con IR: n = 6; Ecc ER: n = 9 and Ecc IR: n = 5) who showed bilateral imbalances.

Dynamic control ratio (DCR)

The DCR for the dominant and non-dominant sides are shown in Table 5. There were no bilateral differences between the dominant and non-dominant arms (p = 0.984). The range, however, indicates a high variation between the results of the
participants (0.57 to 0.92).

Discussion
The sport of water polo involves short bouts of high-intensity play with repetitive cyclic arm motions. The assessment of water polo players’ shoulder strength can assist in determining whether they have sufficient muscle strength to perform these tasks or whether there is a possible predisposition to injury. The demographic characteristics seen in the 15 female club-level water polo players are comparable to other research on elite water polo players of a similar age. The majority of the participants presented with a forward head and rounded shoulder posture while nine also had slight thoracic spine kyphosis. These are common postures in swimmers and overhead athletes where they present with shortened cervical extensors and lengthened cervical flexors. Furthermore, the rounded shoulder posture may also indicate the presence of muscular imbalances surrounding the shoulder girdle, with the anterior chest muscles, such as the pectoralis major and minor being shortened. The posterior thoracic muscles, namely, the middle and lower trapezius and rhomboid muscles, were shown to be weak and lengthened. An imbalanced upper extremity posture would negatively affect the position of the glenohumeral joint and, combined with possible thoracic muscle weakness and fatigue, may predispose an individual to injury.

The majority of the athletes presented with an elevated non-dominant (n=9) or dominant (n=5) shoulder. The presence of shoulder height discrepancy may be the result of a superiorly translated humeral head due to the lack of scapulae stabilisation as seen by the thoracic kyphosis, rounded shoulder posture and winged scapulae. Superior translation of the humeral head can lead to a narrowing of the subacromial space and predisposition to rotator cuff impingement, which is due to postural imbalances seen in overhead athletes from weak external rotators compared to internal rotators.

The water polo players had lower peak torque values for the concentric and eccentric ER muscles compared to the IR muscles. Similarly, when peak torque was normalised to body weight, the IR muscle strength was greater than the ER muscle strength. These findings are further indicated by the weakness seen in the ER muscles relative to the IR muscles in the reciprocal ratio. These results are lower than previously found in the assessment of the peak torque to body weight ratio in asymptomatic overhead athletes and reciprocal ratio in water polo players. However, they were previously assessed at 30°/sec, which could account for the differences.

It is important to also assess the comparison of the eccentric ER muscle strength relative to the concentric IR muscle strength in overhead athletes whose sport involves throwing. The concentric muscular contraction is important for the acceleration phase of throwing, whilst the eccentric muscle action is vital in the deceleration phase of throwing. Thus the DCR evaluates the concurrent work of the muscles in terms of the strength of the eccentric ER strength relative to the concentric IR strength. Furthermore, this synchronisation of opposing muscles assists in the prevention of injury. The DCR for the group showed no bilateral differences (D: 0.75 ± 0.21 and ND: 0.75 ± 0.12); however, the large range on both sides indicates that there is a percentage of water polo athletes who show eccentric ER muscle weakness (D: 0.45 to 1.32 and ND: 0.57 to 0.92). These results demonstrate lower values than those found in previous studies, which were performed on non-water polo players.

The mean bilateral ratios for the group were within normal limits for both the concentric and eccentric movement patterns of the IR and ER muscle groups; however, when assessing the range, there were athletes who exhibited bilateral imbalances (Con IR: -6 to 33%; Con ER: -3 to 21%; Ecc IR: -14 to 28% and Ecc ER: -80 to 49%). These results indicate that within the group tested there are athletes who are possibly predisposed to injury. Similar findings in previous research, also found the dominant arm was stronger than the non-dominant arm in water polo players. In addition, it has been found that athletes involved in overhead sports have a larger dominant arm than the non-dominant arm. It is possible that this can be attributed to water polo, which is an asymmetrical sport, using mainly the dominant arm for throwing and shooting for goal. These muscle imbalances may be associated with the shoulder elevation found in the majority of water polo players; however, this relationship needs to be further investigated.

Thus the combination of postural abnormalities of the upper extremity and muscle weakness of the external shoulder rotators could predispose these athletes for developing shoulder injuries.

Conclusion
Female water polo players present with postural abnormalities, which include rounded and elevated shoulders, thoracic spine kyphosis and a forward head posture. These abnormalities are possibly associated with the concentric and eccentric weakness found in the external rotator muscles relative to the concentric internal rotator muscles. These muscle imbalances and postural abnormalities could predispose the water polo players to shoulder injuries.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the participants of the study.

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest related to this study.

References
7. Elliott J. Shoulder pain and flexibility in elite water polo players.