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**Abstract**

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality. As such, the disease places a significant burden on health care services. Although the pathogenesis of COPD is complex, progressive airflow limitation and a chronic inflammatory response are two hallmark characteristics of the disease. In addition, systemic manifestations such as peripheral muscle dysfunction have recently received considerable attention in the literature. Pulmonary rehabilitation is an evidence-based multidisciplinary intervention that has been shown to produce clinically relevant outcomes. One important component of rehabilitation is exercise. With the majority of COPD patients presenting with muscle weakness and exercise intolerance, the inclusion of resistance training into a pulmonary rehabilitation programme would seem appropriate. Compared with other exercise/training modalities only a small number of studies have investigated the effects of resistance training in COPD patients. Although further research is required to identify the optimal mode, intensity and frequency, it appears that resistance training may prove to be a valuable intervention for COPD patients enrolled in pulmonary rehabilitation programmes.

**Introduction**

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a major cause of disability and premature death and as a result is regarded as global health priority. While the relative contribution of coronary heart disease and stroke towards death is on the decline, mortality from COPD is on the increase. This trend has prompted an increase in the number of studies investigating the efficacy of different treatment modalities within pulmonary rehabilitation (PR), and has resulted in the synthesis of a number of consensus statements and clinical guidelines by various associations.

PR can be defined as ‘an evidence-based multidisciplinary and comprehensive intervention for patients with chronic respiratory disease who are symptomatic and often have decreased daily life activities. Integrated into individualised treatment of the patient, pulmonary rehabilitation is designed to reduce symptoms, optimise functional status, increase participation, and reduce health care costs through stabilising or reversing systemic manifestations of the disease'. A number of deleterious effects have been associated with COPD and include, among others, social isolation, exercise deconditioning, depression, muscle wasting and impaired health-related quality of life (HRQL). Consequently, PR programmes should aim to treat the patient holistically. This invariably means adopting an interdisciplinary approach where various health care professionals address the different components represented in Fig. 1.

---

**Fig. 1. Fundamental components of pulmonary rehabilitation programmes.**
COPD pathophysiology

COPD is a disease state characterised by airflow limitation that is not fully reversible. The airflow limitation is usually both progressive and associated with an abnormal inflammatory response of the lungs to noxious particles or gases. Noxious stimuli such as viral infections and inhaled irritants, especially cigarette smoking, results in irritation of the respiratory tract mucosa. It has been suggested that this continual irritation induces epithelial injury and thus provides the stimulus for inflammatory infiltrate. Dominance of a chronic inflammatory state within the mucosa results in mucus hypersecretion, ciliary dysfunction, oedema and structural changes such as fibrosis and loss of parenchymal integrity. The resultant swelling of the mucosa and subsequent narrowing of the lumen result in airflow obstruction and air trapping/hyperinflation. The inhibition of normal gaseous exchange, i.e. impaired lung function, results in hypoxaemia, which in turn induces dyspnoea, a factor that may deter patients from participating in physical activity. 

Skeletal muscle dysfunction

Inflammatory sequelae are central to the pathogenesis of COPD, and while it has traditionally been defined as a disease of the airways, significant systemic factors manifest in COPD patients, making it a multi-component disease. A common finding in patients suffering from COPD is muscle weakness and a reduction in muscle mass. It appears that lower limb muscles are more affected than those of the upper limbs. Potential factors contributing to muscle wasting in COPD patients are represented in Fig. 2. It should be noted that these factors may all contribute in varying degrees towards skeletal muscle dysfunction. The reduction in fat free mass in COPD patients may negatively impact on ‘physical function, health status and survival.’ Recently, muscle dysfunction has received considerable attention within the literature as a hallmark manifestation of COPD. Skeletal muscle comprises approximately 73% of body mass. In addition to affecting HRQL, dysfunction of skeletal muscle has important implications for exercise tolerance and physical rehabilitation. Physiological or structural alterations that have been documented in the skeletal muscle of COPD patients include: increased proportion of type II fibres, reductions in myosin heavy chain type I isoforms, reductions in uncoupling protein-3 mRNA (protects against mitochondrial damage) and intramyocellular triglyceride in oxidative fibres, reduced vastus lateralis mitochondrial density, reductions in cross-sectional area of type IIX fibres and increased fibrosis, reductions in oxidative enzymes (correlated with number of type I fibres) and lowered oxidative capacity in type II fibres, decreased muscle capillarity and reductions in peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (involved in mitochondrial biogenesis). Gosker et al. recently confirmed that the severity of COPD is associated with reductions in the proportion of type I fibres. The aforementioned factors together with the finding that lactic acidosis occurs earlier during exercise in COPD patients compared with healthy subjects, may partly explain why COPD patients fatigue quicker and experience exercise intolerance. This in turn may deter individuals from participating in physical activity and promote physical deconditioning, ultimately fuelling the progression of COPD (Fig. 3).

Fig. 2. Factors involved in muscle wasting in COPD (adapted from Jagoe and Engelen).

Fig. 3. Cycle of disabling breathlessness, physical inactivity and deconditioning in COPD. (From Cooper CB. Exercise in chronic pulmonary disease: limitations and rehabilitation. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2001; 33(7): S643-S646, with permission.)

Exercise and pulmonary rehabilitation

Pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) programmes can improve HRQL in COPD patients. Exercise intervention is arguably one of the most important components of a PR programme. Exercise intolerance in COPD patients cannot be solely attributed to ventilatory limitations. Rather, it has been proposed that the limitations are multifactorial and include metabolic and gas exchange abnormalities, peripheral muscle dysfunction, cardiac impairment and exertional symptoms. A number of studies have shown the positive effects that exercise training may exert on a variety of clinical symptoms in COPD patients. The mode of exercise most extensively researched has been that of endurance/aerobic type activities. In addition, a substantial number of investigations have been conducted into the effects of inspiratory and expiratory ventilatory training in COPD patients. Although most COPD patients experience muscle weakness, comparatively fewer studies have investigated resistance-training...
effects in COPD patients as a modality in isolation. To the best of the authors’ knowledge Table I contains the only studies that have documented the effects of resistance training in COPD patients. Some of these studies compared a resistance training group versus other interventions. However, the alterations summarised in Table I represent the effects of only resistance training and no other combined intervention. COPD is not just a disease of the respiratory system. It is now well established that the skeletal muscles of COPD patients are both morphologically and functionally different from those of healthy individuals. The extent of the changes is so pronounced that COPD has been termed a muscle disease. Since exercise intolerance in COPD cannot be attributed to ventilatory limitations alone, and skeletal muscle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Significant alterations (p&lt;0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simpson et al.</td>
<td>COPD patients (N=14, mean FEV1 39.5% predicted, mean age 73 years)</td>
<td>Weightlifting 3x/wk for 8 wks x 3 x 10 reps; workload increased from 50% of 1RM in week 1 to 85% of 1RM in week 8; 1RM re-evaluated every 6 session; single arm curl, leg press and leg extension</td>
<td>Increased patients' mastery over the demands of daily living. Improvements in fatigue and dyspnoea; time to fatigue during cycling at 80% of maximum improved; 1RM improvements in arm curl (33%), leg press (16%) and leg extension (44%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark et al.</td>
<td>COPD patients (N=26, mean FEV1 76% predicted, mean age 51 years)</td>
<td>Dynamic weight training exercises (N=8): 2x/wk for 12 wks; workload 70% of 1RM for 12 wks; after week 6, 1RM assessed and workload adjusted to ‘new’ 70%; upper and lower body exercises</td>
<td>Increases in maximum weight lifted (isotonic) observed for 5 out of the 8 exercises; sustained (60s) isokinetic muscle work, endurance work (joules) and maximal tidal volume improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spruit et al.</td>
<td>COPD patients (N=24, mean FEV1 40% predicted, mean age 64 years)</td>
<td>Dynamic strengthening exercises (machines &amp; pulleys). 3x/wk for 12 wks; initial intensity 70% of 1RM (3 x 8 reps); weekly ↑ 5% of 1 RM</td>
<td>Increases in knee extension, knee flexion, shoulder abduction, and elbow flexion force; 38% improvement in 6MWD; increased peak watts during an incremental cycling test; improved HRQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ortega et al.</td>
<td>COPD patients (N=17, mean FEV1 40% predicted, mean age 66 years)</td>
<td>Dynamic strengthening exercises (‘gymnastics apparatus’) 3x/wk for 12 wks; 4 x 6-8 reps, 70-85% of 1RM RM testing conducted every 2 wks to adjust workload; upper and lower body exercises</td>
<td>Shuttle walking test (m) and endurance test (min) improved; strength measurements (kg) in chest pull, butterfly, neck press, leg flexion and leg extension improved; dyspnoea and HRQL improved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright et al.</td>
<td>COPD patients (N=20, mean FEV1 54% predicted, mean age 56 years)</td>
<td>Upper and lower body dynamic strength/machine exercises 2x/wk for 2wks; 3 x 12 reps (adaptation phase – submaximal intensity), then 3x/wk 2-4 x 10 reps, for 5 wks, then 3/wk 2-4 x 8-10 reps for 5 wks (focus on intensive eccentrics)</td>
<td>Cycle ergometry performance improved in training group (18.7%); improved HRQL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kongsgaard et al.</td>
<td>COPD patients (N=6, mean FEV1 48% predicted, mean age 71 years)</td>
<td>Progressive resistance training 2x/wk for 12 wks; 4 x 8 reps (2-3min between sets), 80% of 1RM; leg press, knee extension, knee flexion; workload adjusted weekly</td>
<td>ADL (walking 400 m, climbing stairs, carrying 5 kg) improved; self-reported health improved, knee extension and trunk flexion strength improved, gait time (30 m) and stair climbing time decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoff et al.</td>
<td>COPD patients (N=6, mean FEV1 32% predicted, mean age 62 years)</td>
<td>Horizontal leg press, 8 wk (24 sessions); 4 x 5 reps, 85-90% of 1RM; 2.5 kg progressions implemented when subject could perform more than 5 reps</td>
<td>Dynamic rate of force development, 1RM and peak static force improved; mechanical efficiency of cycling (40W) improved by 31%; RPE at 40W reduced; improved FEV1 (21%). FVC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dysfunction is a significant component of the disease, it would seem appropriate to incorporate resistance training into a PR programme. Resistance training involves the voluntary activation of specific skeletal muscles against some form of external resistance, which is provided by body mass, free weights (barbells and dumbbells), or a variety of exercise modalities (machines, springs, elastic bands, manual resistance etc.). The potential benefits of resistance training in apparently healthy individuals have been reviewed by a number of authors, and includes improvements in muscle strength, increases in muscle size (hypertrophy), increases in protein synthesis, reductions in visceral fat, and reductions in risk factors associated with chronic disease such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer and osteoporosis. The latter may prove beneficial for COPD patients, as many suffer from co-morbid conditions.

It is arguable that any intervention employed within a PR programme should directly or indirectly serve to improve the patient’s quality of life. With this in mind, the question should be asked: do improvements in strength conferred from resistance training translate to improvements in functional capacity in COPD patients? There is evidence to support the value of resistance training in reducing functional limitations in healthy individuals. From the randomised controlled studies summarised in Table I, it is apparent that strength of specific muscles improved in 6 out of 6 of the studies in which it was measured. In addition, improved activities of daily living, the mastery thereof, and/or HRQL were significantly enhanced in 5 of the 7 studies. HRQL is most commonly measured using the Chronic Respiratory Disease Questionnaire. The questionnaire, which has been validated for use in healthy individuals.

It may be counter-intuitive to recommend resistance training to COPD patients due to the shift from a slow to fast twitch phenotype, observed in their skeletal muscle. Whether resistance training may only induce brief SAMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) activation (possibly not at all), resulting in limited AMPK-dependent adaptations such as mitochondrial biogenesis. With the skeletal muscle of COPD patients already exhibiting reduced mitochondrial biogenesis, oxidative enzymes and oxidative capacity, the possibility that resistance training may exacerbate this situation requires clarification.

In contrast to the above possible detrimental effects of resistance training for COPD patients, recent research has shown that acute resistance training promotes skeletal muscle angiogenesis and hence capillarity. Specifically, research has shown that vascular endothelial...
growth factor (VEGF) mRNA is increased 2.9-fold above rest 24 hours after resistance exercise in young and aged men as determined by pooled mRNA microarray analysis.\textsuperscript{37} In the most recent study, acute exercise resistance (3 sets of 10 repetitions knee extension at 60 - 80% of 1 RM, 2 minutes' rest between each set) significantly increased skeletal muscle (vastus lateralis) VEGF mRNA and protein, plasma VEGF protein and skeletal muscle VEGF receptor (KDR and Nrp1) mRNA in sedentary, young (range 24 - 32 years of age) individuals (6 men, 1 woman). The authors concluded that early angiogenic signalling for VEGF is increased by acute resistance exercise although additional research is required to determine the signal transduction pathways responsible for up-regulating the angiogenesis growth factors and receptors.\textsuperscript{16} It remains to be determined whether the increased skeletal muscle angiogenesis/capillarity induced by resistance training in healthy young individuals also occurs in COPD patients, who are typically older. In addition, research is also required to determine whether resistance training induced increases in skeletal muscle angiogenesis/capillarity has any beneficial effect on the functional capacity and HRQL of COPD patients.

**Anti-inflammatory nature of resistance training?**

From the above discussion it can be seen that the precise molecular mechanisms in skeletal muscle that may underlie beneficial or detrimental resistance training transfers for PR patients are complex, with many areas requiring further research.

An additional area of research that is currently receiving worldwide attention relates to the possible anti-inflammatory effect of exercise. With COPD exhibiting a strong inflammatory component,\textsuperscript{39} it may just be that exercise serves to attenuate the chronic inflammatory response in these patients. Oxidative stress (free radical species) modulates aspects of inflammatory-induced alterations in skeletal muscle function.\textsuperscript{53} Eight weeks of aerobic exercise (cycle ergometry) 3 times per week for 60 minutes has been shown to reduce exercise-induced oxidative alterations in COPD patients.\textsuperscript{41} Exercise-induced elevations in cytokines (particularly interleukin-6) have been implicated as potential role players involved in inducing the anti-inflammatory effect associated with exercise.\textsuperscript{36} Whether resistance training promotes a down-regulation in oxidative/inflammatory response remains to be elucidated. High doses of corticosteroids have been shown to induce skeletal myopathies.\textsuperscript{36} If indeed the mechanism by which exercise imparts favourable health benefits is anti-inflammatory sequelae, then it may reduce or impact on the patient's reliance on medications. This in turn could affect HRQL as certain medications have undesirable side-effects.

**Conclusion**

Although guidelines have been established,\textsuperscript{52} the optimal mode, frequency and intensity of resistance training (especially among differing pulmonary pathologies) still requires further investigation. This is important in order to establish the true efficacy of resistance training for COPD patients. This in turn would prevent rehabilitation practitioners from prescribing to or implementing practices that are not supported by evidence. Recently, evidence-based clinical guidelines jointly generated by the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) and the American Association of Cardiovascular and Pulmonary Rehabilitation (AACVPR) reject the inclusion of inspiratory training as part of a PR programme based on lack of evidence.\textsuperscript{44} This highlights the fact that although papers have been published advocating the use of inspiratory training in COPD patients, further research is still required. Similarly, further research is still required to show that resistance training may reduce and/or reverse muscle wasting and dysfunction. Currently, no studies have been conducted in which muscle biopsies have been performed to determine if cellular/biochemical changes occur as a result of strength training in COPD patients.\textsuperscript{53}

Based on the results of studies that have investigated the effects of resistance training on COPD patients, it seems that resistance training may indeed contribute favourably to the exercise training component within a PR programme. This ‘sentiment’ is acknowledged by the ACCP and the AACVPR. Although ‘clinicians underestimated the degree to which skeletal muscle weakness contributes to patient morbidity,’\textsuperscript{53} it seems that within the literature more and more authors are promoting the inclusion of resistance training within PR programmes. Many argue that a combination of endurance and strength training would be most beneficial for the patient. Future studies are required to shed light on the extent to which resistance training may impact on functional capacity and HRQL in COPD patients. In addition, studies are required to investigate the role of resistance training in altering inflammatory responses as well as beneficial or detrimental molecular pathways within the skeletal muscle of COPD patients.
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